

Local heights on elliptic curves and intersection multiplicities

Vincenz Busch, Jan Steffen Müller*

January 12, 2012

Abstract

In this short note we prove a formula for local heights on elliptic curves over number fields in terms of intersection theory on a regular model over the ring of integers.

1 Introduction

Let K be a number field and let E be an elliptic curve in Weierstraß form defined over K . Let M_K denote the set of places on K , normalized to satisfy the product formula. For each $v \in M_K$ we denote the completion of K at v by K_v and we let $n_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$ be the local degree at v . Then there are certain functions $\lambda_v : E(K_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called *local heights*, such that the canonical height $\hat{h}$ on E can be decomposed as

$$\hat{h}(P) = \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in M_K} n_v \lambda_v(P). \quad (1)$$

In Section 2 we discuss our normalization of the local height.

Let R be the ring of integers of K and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the minimal regular model of E over $\text{Spec}(R)$. If $Q \in E(K)$, we let $\mathbf{Q} \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the closure of $(Q) \in \text{Div}(E)(K)$ and extend this to the group $\text{Div}(E)(K)$ of K -rational divisors on E by linearity.

For any non-archimedean v and any divisor $D \in \text{Div}(E)(K)$ of degree zero, Lemma 4 guarantees the existence of a v -vertical $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\Phi_v(D)$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$(\mathbf{D} + \Phi_v(D) \cdot F)_v = 0 \quad \text{for any } v\text{-vertical } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } F \text{ on } \mathcal{C}, \quad (2)$$

where $(\cdot \cdot)_v$ denotes the intersection multiplicity on $\mathcal{C}$ above v .

In Section 4 we will prove the following result, which is a local analogue of the classical Theorem 5.

*Supported by DFG-grant STO 299/5-1

Theorem 1. *Let v be a non-archimedean place of K and $P \in E(K) \setminus \{O\}$. Suppose that E is given by a Weierstraß equation that is minimal at v . Then we have*

$$\lambda_v(P) = 2(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{O})_v - (\Phi_v((P) - (O)) \cdot \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{O})_v,$$

where $\Phi_v((P) - (O))$ is any vertical $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that (2) holds for $D = (P) - (O)$.

Theorem 1 gives a finite closed formula for the local height that is independent of the reduction type of E at v . We hope that we can generalize Theorem 1 as described in Section 5.

The first author would like to thank the hospitality of the University of Bayreuth, where most of the research for this paper was done.

2 Local heights

For each non-archimedean place v we let $v : K_v \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the surjective discrete valuation corresponding to v and we denote the ring of integers of K_v by $\mathcal{O}_v$.

If A is an abelian variety defined over K and D is an ample symmetric divisor on A , one can define the canonical height (or Néron-Tate height) $\hat{h}_D$ on A with respect to D . In the case of an elliptic curve $A = E$ in Weierstraß form we use the canonical height $\hat{h} = \hat{h}_{2(O)}$ with respect to the divisor $2(O)$, where O is the origin of E .

For each place v of K there is a local height (or Néron function) $\lambda_{D,v} : A(K_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, uniquely defined up to a constant, such that $\hat{h}_D$ can be expressed as a sum of local heights as in (1), see [4]. For an account of the different normalizations of the local height see [2, §4]; our normalization will correspond to the one used there, so in particular we have

$$\lambda_v(P) = 2\lambda_v^{\text{SilB}}(P) + \frac{1}{6} \log |\Delta|_v \quad (3)$$

where λ_v^{SilB} is the normalization of the local height with respect to $D = (O)$ used in Silverman's second book [8, Chapter VI] on elliptic curves.

If v is an archimedean place, then we have a classical characterization of the local height. It suffices to discuss the case $K_v = \mathbb{C}$; here we consider the local height $\lambda' := \lambda_v^{\text{SilB}}$ on $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$, where $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$. We set $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$ and denote by

$$B_2(T) = T^2 - T + \frac{1}{6}$$

the second Bernoulli polynomial. If $P \in E(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{O\}$, then we have

$$\lambda'(P) = -\frac{1}{2}B_2\left(\frac{\text{Im}z}{\text{Im}\tau}\right) \log |q| - \log |1 - q| - \sum_{n \geq 1} \log |(1 - q^n u)(1 - q^n u^{-1})|$$

for any complex uniformisation z of P and $u = \exp(2\pi iz)$. This is [8, Theorem VI.3.4] and the following result is [8, Corollary VI.3.3]:

Proposition 2. *For all $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{C})$ such that $P, Q, P \pm Q \neq O$ we have*

$$\lambda'(P + Q) + \lambda'(P - Q) = 2\lambda'(P) + 2\lambda'(Q) - \log |x(P) - x(Q)| + \frac{1}{6} \log |\Delta|.$$

If v is a non-archimedean place we use a Theorem due to Néron which concerns the interplay of the local height λ_v and the Néron model $\mathcal{E}$ of E over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$. Recall that $\mathcal{E}$ can be obtained by discarding all non-smooth points from $\mathcal{C} \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$. Let $(\cdot \cdot)_v$ denote the intersection multiplicity on $\mathcal{C} \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_v$ denote the special fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ above v ; then $\mathcal{E}_v$ has components $\mathcal{E}_v^0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_v^r$, where r is a nonnegative integer and $\mathcal{E}_v^0$ is the connected component of the identity.

For a prime divisor $D \in \text{Div}(E)(K_v)$ we write its closure in $\mathcal{E}$ as $\mathbf{D}$ and we extend this operation to $\text{Div}(E)(K_v)$ by linearity. The following proposition is a special case of [4, Theorem 5.1]:

Proposition 3. (Néron) *Let $D \in \text{Div}(E)(K_v)$ and let $\lambda_{D,v}$ be a local height with divisor D . For each component $\mathcal{E}_v^j$ there is a constant $\gamma_{j,v}(D)$ such that for all $P \in E(K_v) \setminus \text{supp}(D)$ mapping into $\mathcal{E}_v^j$ we have*

$$\lambda_{D,v}(P) = (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P})_v + \gamma_{j,v}(D).$$

3 Arithmetic intersection theory

In this section we briefly recall some basic notions of Arakelov theory on $\mathcal{C}$ and its relation to canonical heights, following essentially [5].

There exists an intersection pairing

$$(\cdot \cdot) : \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

called the *Arakelov intersection pairing*, which, for $D, D' \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{C})$ without common component decomposes into

$$(D \cdot D') = \sum_{v \in M_K} (D \cdot D')_v.$$

In the non-archimedean case $(D \cdot D')_v$ is the usual intersection multiplicity on $\mathcal{C}$ above v (defined, for example in [5, III.§2]). If v is archimedean, let $g_{D,v}$ denote a *Green's function* with respect to $D \times_v \mathbb{C}$ on the Riemann surface $E_v(\mathbb{C})$ (see [5, II.§1]). Then $(D \cdot D')_v$ is given by $g_{D,v}(D') := \sum_i n_i g_{D,v}(Q_i)$ if $D' \times_v \mathbb{C} = \sum_i n_i Q_i$. See [5, IV.§1].

Let $v \in M_K$ be non-archimedean. We say that a divisor F on $\mathcal{C}$ is *v-vertical* if $\text{supp}(F) \subset \mathcal{C}_v$ and we denote the subgroup of such divisors by

$\text{Div}_v(\mathcal{C})$. We also need to use elements of the group $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Div}_v(\mathcal{C})$ of v -vertical $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on $\mathcal{C}$.

We define the operation $D \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ on $\text{Div}(E)(K)$ as in Section 2.

Lemma 4. (*Hriljac*) *For all $D \in \text{Div}(E)(K)$ of degree zero, there exists $\Phi_v(D) \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Div}_v(\mathcal{C})$, unique up to rational multiples of $\mathcal{C}_v$, such that we have*

$$(\mathbf{D} + \Phi_v(D) \cdot F)_v = 0$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Div}_v(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof: See for instance [5, Theorem III.3.6]. $\square$

Note that we can pick $\Phi_v(D) = 0$ if $\mathcal{C}_v$ has only one component. This holds for all but finitely many v .

In analogy with a result for elliptic surfaces due to Manin (cf. [8, Theorem III.9.3]), the following theorem relates the Arakelov intersection to the canonical height. See [5, III, §5] for a proof.

Theorem 5. (*Faltings, Hriljac*) *Let $D, D' \in \text{Div}(E)(K)$ have degree zero and satisfy $[D] = [D'] = P \in \text{Jac}(E)(K) = E(K)$. For each non-archimedean v such that $\mathcal{C}_v$ has more than one component choose some $\Phi_v(D)$ as in Lemma 4 and set $\Phi(D) = \sum_v \Phi_v(D)$. Then we have*

$$(\mathbf{D} + \Phi(D) \cdot \mathbf{D}') = -\hat{h}(P).$$

4 Proof of the Main Theorem

For a non-archimedean place v we let $E^0(K_v)$ denote the subgroup of points of $E(K_v)$ mapping into the connected component of the identity of the special fiber $\mathcal{E}_v$ of the Néron model of E over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$. We write $\gamma_{j,v}$ for the constant $\gamma_{j,v}(2(O))$ introduced in Proposition 3 with respect to our local height λ_v . It is easy to see that our normalization corresponds to the choice $\gamma_{0,v} = 0$; therefore we have

$$\lambda_v(P) = (2\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{P})_v = 2(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{O})_v. \quad (4)$$

for any $P \in E^0(K_v) \setminus \{O\}$. Because P and O reduce to the same component, we also have $\Phi_p((P) - (O)) = 0$ which proves the theorem for such points.

Next we want to find the constants $\gamma_{j,v}$ for $j > 0$. We will first compare the local height with Arakelov intersections for archimedean places.

Lemma 6. *Let v be an archimedean place. The local height λ_v^{SilB} is a Green's function with respect to $D = (O)$ and the canonical volume form on the Riemann surface $E_v(\mathbb{C})$. Hence the function*

$$g_{P,v}(Q) := \lambda_v^{\text{SilB}}(Q - P)$$

is a Green's function with respect to the divisor (P) for any $P \in E_v(\mathbb{C})$.

For a proof see [5, Theorem II.5.1]. We extend this by linearity to get a Green's function $g_{D,v}$ with respect to any $D \in \text{Div}(E_v)(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 7. *Let v be an archimedean place of K . For all $P \in E_v(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{O\}$ and $Q \in E_v(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\pm P, O\}$ we have*

$$g_{D,v}(D_Q) = -\lambda_v(P) - \log |x(P) - x(Q)|_v,$$

where $D = (P) - (O)$ and $D_Q = (P + Q) - (Q)$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{D,v}(D_Q) &= g_{P+Q,v}(P) - g_{P+Q,v}(O) - g_{Q,v}(P) + g_{Q,v}(O) \\ &= 2\lambda'(Q) - \lambda'(P + Q) - \lambda'(P - Q), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda' = \lambda_v^{\text{SilB}}$ and the second equality follows from Lemma 6. However, by Proposition 2 we have

$$2\lambda'(Q) - \lambda'(P + Q) - \lambda'(P - Q) = -2\lambda'(P) + \log |x(P) - x(Q)|_v - \frac{1}{6} \log |\Delta|_v.$$

An application of (3) finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Theorem 1 holds if for each reduction type $\mathcal{K} \notin \{I_0, I_1, II, II^*\}$ there is a prime number p and an elliptic curve $E(\mathcal{K})/\mathbb{Q}$, given by a Weierstraß equation that is minimal at p , satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *The Néron model $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})$ of $E(\mathcal{K})$ has reduction type $\mathcal{K}$ at p .*
- (ii) *For each connected component $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})_p^j$, there is a point $P_j \in E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{O\}$ reducing to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})_p^j$.*

Proof: Let v be a non-archimedean place of K , let k_v be the residue class field at v . Let $N_v = \frac{n_v}{\log(\#k_v)}$, where $n_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$. If $P \notin E^0(K_v)$, we have $v_p(x(P)) \geq 0$ and hence $(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{O})_p = 0$ is immediate.

Now let $\mathcal{K}$ be a reduction type of E at v . Then, for any $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, both $\gamma_{j,v} \cdot N_v$ and $(\Phi_v((P_j) - (O)) \cdot \mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{O})_v \cdot N_v$ do not depend on K , E or v , but only on $\mathcal{K}$ and j . For the former assertion, see [2], where the values of all possible $\gamma_{j,v}$ are determined and for the latter see [1].

Therefore it suffices to show

$$\lambda_p(P_j) = \gamma_{j,p} = -(\Phi_p((P_j) - (O)) \cdot \mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{O})_p \quad (5)$$

for all $j \neq 0$, where $P_j \in E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q})$ is as in (ii). We can assume $\mathcal{K} \notin \{I_0, I_1, II, II^*\}$, since for those reduction types there is only one connected component.

Let $j \neq 0$, let $P = P_j$, let $D = (P) - (O)$ and let $D_Q = (P + Q) - (Q)$ for each $Q \in E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q})$.

From Theorem 5 we deduce

$$-\sum_p (\mathbf{D} + \Phi_p(D) \cdot \mathbf{D}_Q)_p - g_{D,\infty}(D_Q) = \sum_p \lambda_p(P) + \lambda_\infty(P)$$

for any $Q \in E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{P, -P, O\}$. For each prime p , the corresponding summand is a rational multiple of $\log p$, so Lemma 7 implies

$$\lambda_p(P) = -(\mathbf{D} + \Phi_p(D) \cdot \mathbf{D}_Q)_p + \log |x(P) - x(Q)|_p \quad (6)$$

for all primes p , by independence of logarithms over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now consider $Q = P_0 \in E(\mathcal{K})^0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{O\}$ and expand

$$(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}_Q)_p = (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q})_p - (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q})_p - (\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q})_p + (\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{Q})_p.$$

By assumption, we have

$$(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q})_p = (\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q})_p = 0$$

since the respective points lie on different components. Moreover, because of the Néron mapping property, (see [8, IV, §5]) translation by P extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})$, so we have

$$(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q})_p = (\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{Q})_p = -\frac{1}{2} \max\{v_p(x(Q)), 0\} \log p.$$

Since we cannot have $v_p(x(P) - x(Q)) > 0$, the proof of (5) and hence of the Lemma follows from (6). $\square$

In order to finish the proof of the Theorem, we only need to prove the following result:

Lemma 9. *For each reduction type $\mathcal{K} \notin \{I_0, I_1, II, II^*\}$ the elliptic curve $E(\mathcal{K})$ listed in Table 1 satisfies the conditions of Lemma 8.*

Proof: This is a straightforward check using the proof of Tate's algorithm in [8, III, §9]. If the component group $\Psi(\mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})$ is cyclic, it suffices to list $P_1 \in E(\mathcal{K})(\mathbb{Q})$ mapping to a generator of $\Psi(\mathcal{K})$ to guarantee the existence of P_j as in Proposition 8 for all $j \neq 0$. In the remaining case I_n^* , n even, we have $\Psi(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and hence we need to list two points P_1 and P_2 mapping to generators of $\Psi(\mathcal{K})$. $\square$

Remark 10. It is well-known that λ_v is constant on non-identity components of $\mathcal{E}_v$. This follows from Theorem 3 as above, but we are not aware of any previous result interpreting the constants $\gamma_{j,v}$ in terms of intersection theory.

Remark 11. It is easy to see that we can consider $P \in E(K_v)$ in the statement of Theorem 1. In that case, we have to look at the respective Zariski closures on $\mathcal{C} \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and observe that Lemma 4 remains correct in the local case.

$\mathcal{K}$	p	$E(\mathcal{K})$
I_n $n \geq 2$	$p > 3$	$y^2 = (x+1-p)(x^2 - p^{n-1}x + p^n)$
		$P_0 = (p-1, 0); P_1 = (p, p)$
III	7	$y^2 = x^3 + 7x + 7^2$
		$P_0 = (-3, 1); P_1 = (0, 7)$
IV	7	$y^2 = x^3 + 4 \cdot 7^2$
		$P_0 = (-3, 13); P_1 = (0, 14)$
I_0^*	7	$y^2 + 7^2y = x^3 + 7x^2 + 7^2x$
		$P_0 = (-6, -6); P_1 = (0, 0); P_2 = (14, 49)$
$I_n^*, n \geq 1$ odd $n = 2k - 3$	2	$y^2 + 2^k y = x \cdot (x - (2^k - 2)) \cdot (x + 2^{k+1})$
		$P_0 = (-1, 2^{k+1} - 1); P_1 = (0, 0)$
$I_n^*, n \geq 2$ even $n = 2k - 2$	2	$y^2 - 2^{k+1}y = x \cdot (x - (2^k - 2)) \cdot (x + 2^k)$
		$P_0 = (-1, 2^k - 1); P_1 = (0, 0); P_2 = (-2^k, 0)$
IV^*	7	$y^2 = x^3 + 2 \cdot 7^3x + 7^4$
		$P_0 = (32, 239); P_1 = (0, 49)$
III^*	7	$y^2 = x^3 + 7^3x + 5 \cdot 7^5$
		$P_0 = (-38, 127); P_1 = (98, 1029)$

Table 1: $E(\mathcal{K})$ for $\mathcal{K} \notin \{I_0, I_1, II, II^*\}$

Remark 12. Although Theorem 1 requires E to be given by a minimal Weierstraß equation at v , we can find the value of λ_v for other models of E using the transformation formula [2, Lemma 4].

Remark 13. According to David Holmes, Theorem 1 can also be proved by a direct comparison using Néron's original construction of the canonical height pairing. The details will appear in Holmes' forthcoming PhD thesis at the University of Warwick.

5 Outlook

It would be interesting to generalize Theorem 1 to the case of a Jacobian J of a curve C of genus $g \geq 2$. There are analogues of Proposition 3 in this situation and if we use the divisor $T = \Theta + [-1]^*\Theta$, where $\Theta \in \text{Div}(J)$ is a theta divisor, then Theorem 5 also generalizes. For instance, if C is hyperelliptic with a unique K -rational point ∞ at infinity, then every $P \in J(K)$ can be represented using a divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^d (P_i) - d(\infty)$, where $d \leq g$, and a natural analogue of Theorem 1 would be an expression of $\lambda_v = \lambda_{T,v}$ in terms of the intersections $(\mathbf{P}_i, \infty)$ and the vertical $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\Phi_v(D)$.

This would be interesting, for example, because for $g \geq 3$ it is currently impossible to write down non-archimedean local heights explicitly, as one needs to work on an explicit embedding of the Kummer variety $J/\{\pm 1\}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$ and these become rather complicated as g increases. See [6,

Chapter 4] for a discussion. Accordingly, the existing algorithms [3], [7] for the computation of canonical heights use the generalization of Theorem 5 directly by choosing (rather arbitrarily) divisors D_1 and D_2 that represent P . These algorithms could be simplified significantly if a generalization of Theorem 1 were known.

References

- [1] D. A. Cox and S. Zucker, *Intersection numbers of sections of elliptic surfaces*, Invent. Math. **53**, 1–44 (1969).
- [2] J.E. Cremona, M. Prickett and S. Siksek, *Height difference bounds on elliptic curves over number fields*, J. Number Theory **116**, 42–68 (2006).
- [3] D. Holmes, *Computing Néron-Tate heights of points on hyperelliptic Jacobians*, Preprint (2011). arXiv:math/1004.4503v4 [math.NT]
- [4] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of diophantine geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [5] S. Lang, *Introduction to Arakelov theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [6] J.S. Müller, *Computing canonical heights on Jacobians*, PhD thesis, Universität Bayreuth (2010).
- [7] J.S. Müller, *Computing canonical heights using arithmetic intersection theory*, Preprint (2011). arXiv:math/1105.1719v2 [math.NT]
- [8] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995